

Effect of herbal extracts on piglet performance and small intestinal epithelial villi

E. HANCZAKOWSKA, M. SWIATKIEWICZ

Department of Animal Nutrition and Feed Science, National Research Institute of Animal Production, Balice, Poland

ABSTRACT: The effect of a mixture of herbal extracts on piglet performance was estimated on 178 piglets allocated to 3 groups comprising 6 litters each. Group I (control) was fed with the standard barley-wheat-soybean mixture. Group II received the same mixture supplemented with a blend of formic and propionic acids. Group III received the basal diet supplemented with a mixture of water extracts from sage, lemon balm, nettle and coneflower (20, 30, 30, and 20%, respectively) at 500 mg/kg feed. The experiment lasted for 84 days but on day 56 six piglets from each group were slaughtered and their gastrointestinal tract was removed. Apparent digestibility was estimated using the Cr_2O_3 indicator method. Acidity of digesta was measured in the stomach, ileum, and caecum, and volatile fatty acid content was evaluated in the ileum and caecum. Amounts of bacteria and morphological structure were evaluated in the ileal digesta and epithelium, respectively. In the experimental groups less dead and culled piglets were observed than in the control group. Piglets in the herb-supplemented group grew faster than control animals and showed significantly higher final average body weights. There was no significant difference in feed utilization. Acetic acid content was higher in both groups receiving supplements. The amount of propionic acid in the caecum of animals from the herb-supplemented group was lower than in animals from groups I and II. There were no significant differences in bacteria population in ileum chyme. The herbal extract improved the structure of the ileal epithelium by significantly increasing villus height. Better digestibility of nutrients could be due to higher villi in this group.

Keywords: piglet nutrition; ileum; intestine morphology; plant extracts

Herbs and their extracts have been used in human and veterinary medicine for a long time (Viegi et al., 2003). Plants contain many active substances such as phenolic compounds (mainly tannins and flavonoids), essential oils, and others (Reuter et al., 2007; Schnitzler et al., 2008). Herbs can be used as dried parts of plants (Kong et al., 2007a) or as extracts prepared mainly using water or ethanol as solvents (Kong et al., 2007b). Such extracts are more practical than dried plants because of their higher content of active substances and easier application. Herbs probably may replace antibiotics and synthetic growth promoters banned by the European Union (Namkung, 2004).

The positive effect of herbs in pig feeding was found by Mellor (2000). Paschma (2004) examined the influence of herbal supplements on sows' performance. The beneficial effect of herbs added to

feed mixture for fatteners on their weight gains, carcass meat content, and meat quality was noted by Szewczyk et al. (2006) and Hanczakowska et al. (2007). Sage (*Salvia officinalis*) contains essential oils which have strong antimicrobial and antioxidant activities (Bozin et al., 2007). Another plant with similar properties is lemon balm (*Melissa officinalis*), the antimicrobial activity of which was found by Mahady et al. (2005). Also purple coneflower (*Echinacea purpurea*), previously used in botanical medicine, is now the subject of research and its immunological activity is known (Goel et al., 2005). Herbal extracts can be especially useful in feeding of piglets sensitive to harmful environmental effects. Oetting et al. (2004) obtained beneficial results by adding the herb extract to piglet feed but only in a relatively high dose (about 2000 ppm). In a study of Namkung et al. (2004) the antibiotic

limited the growth of harmful and beneficial bacteria while herb extracts inhibited only the growth of pathogenic bacteria.

The aim of this experiment was to evaluate the effects of a mixture of herbal extracts on rearing results, performance, intestinal microbiota, and structure of mucosal epithelium of the ileum in piglets and to compare them with the traditional acidifier.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animal management, treatments, and experimental design

The present experiment consisted of two parts: a growing experiment and a digestibility trial. All experimental procedures involving the use of live ani-

mals were approved by the Local Ethics Committee for Experiments with Animals. The study was conducted under continual veterinary supervision.

Growing experiment. The experiment was performed on 178 piglets originating from Polish Landrace × Polish Large White sows mated to a Pietrain × Hampshire boar. After farrowing, piglets were allocated to three experimental groups comprising 6 litters each. Piglets received experimental mixtures *ad libitum* from the 7th day of age to weaning (35th day of age), whereas restricted feeding according to piglet body weight was used from weaning to the end of the experiment (84th day of age). The amount of feed was increased every 7th day by 200 g. On the 35th day of life piglets were weaned and each litter was kept in a separate pen. Animals had free access to water. The experiment lasted until the 84th day of life, but on the 56th day of the experiment 6 piglets

Table 1. Composition of diets for piglets

	Group I	Group II	Group III
Components (g/kg)			
Wheat, ground	419	414	418.5
Barley, ground	200	200	200
Soybean meal	250	250	250
Rape oil	10	10	10
Dried whey	50	50	50
Skim milk powder	40	40	40
Salt	3.5	3.5	3.5
Calcium carbonate	8	8	8
Dicalcium phosphate	12	12	12
L-Lysine	1	1	1
DL-Methionine	1.5	1.5	1.5
Vitamin-mineral premix ¹	5	5	5
Propionic and formic acid	–	5	–
Herbal extracts mixture	–	–	0.5
Nutritional value of 1kg mixture			
Metabolizable energy ² (MJ)	12.56	12.65	12.65
Dry matter (g)	886.5	910.8	881.6
Crude protein (g)	195.0	200.3	207.5
Digestible protein (g)	141.6	146.8	158.7
Crude fat (g)	27.0	26.9	27.0
Crude fibre (g)	28.1	28.5	24.0

¹composition of vitamin-mineral premix: vit. A 2 700 000 IU, vit. D₃ 400 000 IU, vit. E 8.0 g, vit. K₃ 0.5 g, vit. B₁ 0.5 g, vit. B₂ 0.8 g, vit. B₆ 0.8 g, vit. B₁₂ 0.008 g, pantothenic acid 2.8 g, choline chloride 70 g, folic acid 0.2 g, nicotinic acid 5.0 g, magnesium 10 g, manganese 12 g, iodine 0.1 g, zinc 30 g, iron 20 g, copper 32 g, cobalt 0.06 g, selenium 0.04 g, complete limestone to 1000 g

²calculated using the equation of Hoffmann and Schiemann (1980)

from each group (i.e. one piglet from each litter) were slaughtered and their gastrointestinal tract was removed. The individual body weight of all piglets was recorded at 1, 35, 56, and 84 days. Feed intake was measured and feed utilization was calculated for each pen. Piglets were fed with a standard barley-wheat-soybean mixture (Table 1) with no supplement (Group I – control), supplemented with 1 : 1 (w/w) mixture of propionic and formic acids at 5 g/kg of feed (Group II) or with herbal extracts mixture at 500 mg/kg of feed (Group III). Water extracts were produced from sage (*Salvia officinalis*), lemon balm (*Melissa officinalis*), nettle (*Urtica dioica*), and purple coneflower (*Echinacea purpurea*) in amounts of 20, 30, 30, and 20%, respectively. Extracts were commercial preparations produced by Phytopharm Kleka S.A. (Nowe Miasto, Poland).

Digestibility experiment. Between the 56th and 70th day of life, selected piglets from the growing experiment were used for the digestibility trial. Apparent digestibility of nutrients was estimated in 4 litters from each group (10–12 piglets on average), using the indicator method with Cr₂O₃ (3.0 g/kg). The adaptation period lasted 10 days and the balance period 5 days. Feces from one litter were collected once a day and frozen at –20°C. At the end of collection period all daily feces were mixed together and mean sample was prepared for each litter.

Microbiological analyses

Microbiological analyses were performed in ileum digesta. The tests were made with the plate method on agar medium (bioMérieux, Craaponne, France), according to European Standards (ISO 16654:2001; ISO 15213:2003; ISO 7937:2004).

Histological analysis

Samples of the ileum were spread on polystyrene plates and fixed in 10% buffered formalin. The intestinal wall was precisely cut and four slides were prepared from each sample. They were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and embedded in paraffin. Villus height and crypt depth were evaluated under a light microscope. Data acquisition was performed with a Carl Zeiss Axioskop microscope (Carl Zeiss GmbH, Jena, Germany) and CDD Camera ZVS-47DE (Optronics Inc., Goleta, USA) connected by RGB line with a graphic card GraBIT PCI (Soft Imaging

System GmbH, Munster, Germany) installed in a standard PC computer.

Chemical analyses

Gross composition of feeds and feces was analyzed according to AOAC (2005). Chromium contents in feed and feces were determined after nitric acid × perchloric acid wet ash preparation (AOAC, 2005). Apparent digestibility coefficients (ADC) were calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{ADC (\%)} = 100 - [100 \times ((a/b) \times (c/d))]$$

where:

a = chromium content in feed (%)

b = chromium content in feces (%)

c = nutrient content in feces (%)

d = nutrient content in feed (%)

Acidity of stomach, ileum, and caecum contents was measured with a CP-411 pH meter (Elmetron, Zabrze, Poland) equipped with a Metron 12-01 electrode (Metron, Troun, Poland). Short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) in the ileum and caecum were separated on column CP-Wax 58 (Varian BV, Middelburg, the Netherlands) (25 m, 0.53 mm, 1 m, carrier gas – helium, 6 ml/min), with a column oven temperature program from 90 to 200°C, using Varian 3400 gas chromatograph (Varian Associates Inc., Walnut Creek, USA) equipped with a Varian 8200 CX autosampler (2000 C), FID detector (2600 C), and Star Chromatography Workstation Software.

Antioxidant activity of the extracts mixture was estimated with the spectrophotometric method *in vitro* using synthetic radicals ABTS (Re et al., 1999). Results are expressed as Trolox Equivalent Antioxidant Capacity (TEAC). Content of phenolic compounds was analyzed spectrophotometrically according to Singleton and Rossi (1965) using the Folin-Ciocolteu reagent. Results are expressed as Gallic Acid Equivalent (GAE).

The contents of tannins, phenolic acids, and flavonoids were determined spectrophotometrically according to Polish Pharmacopoeia (2002).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of treatment effects was conducted using analysis of variance with compari-

son of means by Duncan's multiple range test at $P \leq 0.05$ and $P \leq 0.01$ levels of significance using STATISTICA Version 5.1 package (StatSoft, 1996).

RESULTS

While the content of tannins and phenolic acids was similar in all extracts, the content of flavonoids in the extract from sage was almost three times higher than that in the extract from lemon balm (Table 2).

Sage extract had the highest content of polyphenols (59 GAE) and the highest antioxidant activity (2528 TEAC). Both these values were the lowest (16.8 GAE and 601 TEAC) in the case of coneflower extract (Table 3).

There were less dead and culled piglets (Table 4) in group III (8.5%) than in the control group (10.2%), but slightly more than in group II (6.5%). At the beginning of the experiment average body weight of experimental piglets was lower than that of controls (Table 4). At weaning (day 35) body weight of all piglets was similar (7.61–8.25 kg), but the difference between group III and the control group was about 8%. At the end of the experiment the body weight of piglets fed herbs (25.08 kg) was significantly higher ($P \leq 0.05$) than that of control and acid-supplemented pigs (22.75 and 22.94 kg). In the first period of the experiment (1st–35th day

of age) piglets from herb-supplemented group III grew faster by 15.7% ($P \leq 0.01$) than control animals and by 9.3% when compared with acid-supplemented group II. At later periods piglets fed with herbal extract still grew faster than those from groups I and II, but these differences were not significant. However, during the whole experiment (1st–84th day of age) differences in piglets' body weight gain between group III (284 g) and the other two groups (253 and 256 g) were significant ($P \leq 0.05$) and amounted to 12.2 and 10.9%, respectively.

During the whole experiment (1st–84th day) the average feed utilization in group III receiving the diet with herbal extracts was significantly better, by about 10%, than in groups I and II (Table 3). Statistically significant differences were also observed between feed conversion of piglets fed with herb mixture and the control group; they were about 4% during nursing (7th–35th day of age) and 12% after weaning (35th–84th day of age).

Table 5 shows the results of digestibility study. The herbal extracts significantly improved digestibility of all nutrients when compared to the control group, while in the case of dry matter and crude fat also compared to the acid-supplemented group ($P \leq 0.05$). In contrast to the control group, piglets receiving the herbal supplement were characterized by better protein digestion (by 2.6 percentage points), and better crude fat and crude fibre digestion (by about 12.6 and 9.3 percentage points, respectively).

Table 2. Content of phenolic compounds in the extracts (g/kg)

Extract	Tannins	Phenolic acids	Flavonoids
Sage (<i>Salvia officinalis</i>)	38.7	39.7	14.2
Lemon balm (<i>Melisa officinalis</i>)	33.7	28.5	5.3
Coneflower (<i>Echinacea purpurea</i>)	36.4	26.3	13.2
Nettle (<i>Urtica dioica</i>)	26.3	25.3	9.4

Table 3. Antioxidant activity and polyphenols content in preparations

Extract	Antioxidant activity TEAC ¹	Content of polyphenols GAE ²
Herbal extracts mixture	1917 ± 86.6	43.4 ± 0.88
Sage (<i>Salvia officinalis</i>)	2528 ± 96.8	59.0 ± 0.86
Lemon balm (<i>Melissa officinalis</i>)	1300 ± 31.9	36.5 ± 0.86
Nettle (<i>Urtica dioica</i>)	743 ± 18.8	23.3 ± 0.88
Coneflower (<i>Echinacea purpurea</i>)	601 ± 16.8	16.8 ± 0.86

¹µmol of trolox per g of preparation

²mg of gallic acid per g of preparation

Table 4. Indices of piglet performance

Indices	Group I	Group II	Group III	SEM
No. of litters in treatment	6	6	6	–
No. of born piglets per treatment	59	60	59	–
Average No. of piglets born per litter	9.83	10.0	9.83	–
Average No. of piglets weaned per litter	8.83	9.33	9.00	–
Average No. of piglets at 84 th day per litter	8.83	9.00	9.00	–
Dead and culled piglets (%)	10.2	6.5	8.5	–
Body weight (kg) on days of age				
1 st	1.75 ^B	1.68 ^B	1.48 ^A	0.027
7 th	2.68 ^b	2.62 ^b	2.36 ^a	0.054
35 th	7.61	7.87	8.25	0.147
56 th	11.13 ^a	11.36 ^{ab}	12.30 ^b	0.207
84 th	22.75 ^a	22.94 ^a	25.08 ^b	0.438
Average daily gain (g) in periods of life (days)				
1–35	172 ^A	182 ^{AB}	199 ^B	4.018
35–56	167	166	193	5.527
56–84	415	413	456	10.982
35–84	309	308	343	7.333
1–84	253 ^a	256 ^a	284 ^b	5.195
Feed conversion ratio (kg/kg) in periods of life (days)				
7–35	0.114 ^b	0.113 ^b	0.109 ^a	0.002
35–56	1.50 ^B	1.50 ^B	1.32 ^A	0.029
56–84	2.32 ^B	2.33 ^B	2.03 ^A	0.066
35–84	2.06 ^B	2.10 ^B	1.81 ^A	0.035
1–84	1.47 ^B	1.46 ^B	1.32 ^A	0.019

^{a,b}mean values in the same row with different letters differ significantly at $P \leq 0.05$

^{A,B}mean values in the same row with different letters differ significantly at $P \leq 0.0$

Acetic acid was the most abundant acid both in the ileum and caeca (Table 6). Its content was very similar (about 5 $\mu\text{mol/g}$ wet weight) in the ileum of animals from all groups. The only sig-

nificant difference in the ileal chyme of piglets was in the contents of valeric and isovaleric acids, but the amount of both these acids was very low. The contents of short-chain fatty acids in caecum

Table 5. Apparent digestibility coefficients of nutrients (%)

	Group I	Group II	Group III	SEM
No. of litters	4	4	4	–
Dry matter	81.6 ^a	81.8 ^a	83.2 ^b	0.306
Crude protein	72.9 ^a	73.3 ^{ab}	75.5 ^b	0.532
Crude fat	32.3 ^{aA}	34.6 ^{aAB}	44.9 ^{bbB}	2.131
Crude fibre	18.5 ^a	21.1 ^{ab}	27.8 ^b	1.570
N-free extract	93.1 ^A	93.1 ^{AB}	93.4 ^B	0.119

^{a,b}mean values in the same row with different letters differ significantly at $P \leq 0.05$

^{A,B}mean values in the same row with different letters differ significantly at $P \leq 0.01$

Table 6. Short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) content of piglets' ileum and caecum chyme ($\mu\text{mol/g}$ wet weight)

VFA	Group I	Group II	Group III	SEM
No. of piglets	6	6	6	–
Ileum				
Acetic	4.59	5.93	5.28	0.678
Propionic	0.805	0.617	0.562	0.117
Isobutyric	0.032	0.297	0.078	0.068
Butyric	0.320	0.017	0.103	0.046
Isovaleric	0.037 ^A	0.351 ^B	0.209 ^{AB}	0.043
Valeric	0.016 ^a	0.199 ^b	0.059 ^a	0.029
Total acids	5.804	7.567	6.291	0.840
Caecum				
Acetic	53.234	68.747	61.490	5.308
Propionic	38.432	37.310	32.978	2.115
Isobutyric	0.472	1.160	0.757	0.149
Butyric	20.332	14.754	17.668	1.576
Isovaleric	0.222	0.576	0.308	0.080
Valeric	3.217	3.554	3.597	0.362
Total acids	115.909	126.104	116.800	8.125

^{a,b}mean values in the same row with different letters differ significantly at $P \leq 0.05$

^{A,B}mean values in the same row with different letters differ significantly at $P \leq 0.01$

digesta did not differ significantly between the groups.

The only significant difference in acidity of the contents of digestive tracts was higher pH in the ileum and jejunum of piglets receiving herb extracts (Table 7).

Supplementation of the herbal extracts lowered the amount of *Escherichia coli* when compared to the remaining groups but this difference was not significant (Table 7). There was also no significant difference in total amount of anaerobic bacteria, though acids in group II significantly lowered the number of

Table 7. Acidity of digesta in different parts of digestive tract and microbial population in small intestine (ileum) digesta

Item	Group I	Group II	Group III	SEM
No. of piglets	6	6	6	–
Digesta pH				
Stomach	1.65	1.26	1.58	0.095
Small intestine				
Duodenum	5.76	5.29	5.72	0.188
Jejunum	5.87 ^a	5.86 ^a	6.30 ^b	0.080
Ileum	5.58 ^A	5.96 ^{AB}	6.52 ^B	0.147
Caecum	5.50	5.68	5.72	0.064
Number of microorganisms in digesta ($\text{Log}_{10}\text{CFU}/1\text{g}$ chyme)				
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	9.01	8.98	7.67	0.633
Anaerobic bacteria	7.01	7.64	9.27	0.474
<i>Clostridium</i>	2.14 ^b	0.41 ^a	2.36 ^b	0.336

^{a,b}mean values in the same row with different letters differ significantly at $P \leq 0.05$

^{A,B}mean values in the same row with different letters differ significantly at $P \leq 0.01$

Table 8. Ileum mucosa epithelium structure

Item	Group I	Group II	Group III	SEM
No. of measurements	206	142	206	–
Villus height (μm)	257 ^A	285 ^B	316 ^C	3.56
Villus width (μm)	111 ^A	121 ^B	133 ^C	1.19
No. of measurements	107	156	111	–
Crypt depth (μm)	280 ^A	381 ^C	335 ^B	4.66
Villus height/crypt depth	0.917	0.748	0.943	–

^{a-c}mean values in the same row with different letters differ significantly at $P \leq 0.05$

^{A-C}mean values in the same row with different letters differ significantly at $P \leq 0.01$

clostridia (0.41 Log₁₀CFU/1 g) when compared to the control group and the group receiving herbal extracts (2.14 and 2.36 Log₁₀CFU/1 g, respectively).

Both additives used in the experiment significantly ($P \leq 0.01$) improved the ileum mucosa structure by increasing all villi measurements as well as the crypt depth (Table 8). The villi height observed in the intestine of piglets receiving herbal extracts was increased by about 23 or 11% when compared with the control or acid-supplemented groups, respectively.

DISCUSSION

A slightly higher number of dead and culled piglets receiving herb extracts than those receiving acids suggests that herbs as an antimicrobial agent are not so good as organic acids that have been used for many years as preservatives of animal feeds (Partanen and Mroz, 1999). On the other hand, however, they had a positive effect on piglets when compared to control which is in accordance with the results of Kuhn et al. (2005), who found immunostimulatory effects of *Echinacea purpurea* in piglets. Also Stropfová and Lauková (2009) reported probiotic properties of sage extract, which was also one of the extracts used in this experiment.

Grela et al. (2006) found slightly better body weights in piglets receiving extracts from lemon balm, nettle, and purple coneflower compared to unsupplemented piglets, but contrary to this study Utiyama et al. (2004) found no improvements in the weight gains of piglets receiving extracts from garlic, cloves, cinnamon, pepper, and thyme. They probably used an insufficient amount of the extract, because in another experiment (Oetting et al., 2004) they achieved better results by increasing the extract dose.

It seems that in the present experiment higher body weight gains of piglets receiving herb extracts resulted

from an increase in nutrient digestibility, which in turn was an effect of positive development of the intestinal structure. Intestinal villi are the main site of nutrient absorption (Ray et al., 2002) and their better development could be the reason for higher nutrient absorption (Mekbungwan et al., 2002) as well as for better performance of piglets (Swiatkiewicz and Hanczakowska, 2006). Velazques et al. (2005) reported positive effects of herb extract on the development of the intestinal tract. Also according to Kong et al. (2009) the digestibility of protein and intestinal absorption of amino acids can be improved by adding herbal powder to the feed for young pigs.

It is known that the amount of volatile fatty acids in the digestive tract of pigs increases from its proximal to distant parts. In a study by Nyachoti et al. (2006) with early-weaned pigs, concentrations of acetic and propionic acids changed from 0.907 and 0.343 mmol/l in the duodenum to 70.29 and 56.92 mmol/l in the ileum, respectively. The lack of significant differences between particular groups of piglets in fatty acids content of both parts of the digestive tract suggests that herb extract and organic acids were not sufficiently active antimicrobial factors to lower volatile fatty acids production (Mroz, 2005).

Literature data concerning the effect of organic acids on pH in the digestive tract are not consistent. While Fevrier et al. (2001) found a reduction of pH in the stomach when feed for piglets was supplemented with formate, Canibe et al. (2001) did not find such a relationship. No difference in the pH of intestinal contents of hens after supplementing feed with formic and propionic acids was found by Thompson and Hinton (1997) and a similar result was obtained by Manzanilla et al. (2004) who used formic acid and plant extracts in the experiment on piglets. According to these last authors stomach acidity is mainly affected by the buffering capacity of solid meal and water. Also Namkung et al. (2004)

found no difference in pH of ileum chyme after supplementing feed with organic acids, probably due to buffering capacity of the secretions into intestine.

In the present experiment the differences in the amount of microorganisms in the ileum digesta were generally small, which is consistent with the results of Namkung et al. (2004) who also found no difference in coliform populations in the ileum and colon of piglets receiving organic acids and herb extracts. According to Castillo et al. (2006) who used butyric acid, the effect of this acid and plant extracts was not related to a reduction in the number of total bacteria inhabiting different sections of the gastrointestinal tract but rather to changes in the ecological structure and metabolic activity of the microbial community. On the other hand, the small amount of *clostridia* in the ileum chyme of animals receiving acids in this experiment could be the result of antimicrobial activity of propionic and formic acids (Roth and Kirchgessner, 1998). The decrease in the number of *E. coli* and *clostridia* in the intestinal digesta was also observed when fumaric or medium chain fatty acids were used in feed for piglets (Hanczakowska et al., 2011).

As mentioned above, there is a relationship between villi height and nutrient absorption and digestibility (Mekbungwan et al., 2002). Also Pappenheimer and Michel (2003) proved a decisive role of villi in the intestinal absorption of nutrients. The positive effect of extracts from medicine plants on piglet villi height was reported also by Fang et al. (2009). Thus it is likely that changes in villi structure under the influence of the herb extract improved nutrient absorption and, in turn, piglet performance. Now we do not have a satisfactory explanation of the beneficial effect of herbal extracts and their antioxidative activity on ileal mucosa structure and piglet performance. It is possible that it is due to free radical-scavenging activities of polyphenolic compounds (Rice-Evans et al., 1997; Asfar et al., 2003). Perhaps an increased villus height is paralleled by an increased expression of brush border enzymes and improved nutrient transport system (Viveros et al., 2011).

CONCLUSION

Summing up the results obtained, it can be stated that extracts from the chosen plants can have positive effects on piglet performance mainly due to positive changes in small intestine morphology.

REFERENCES

- AOAC (2005): Official Methods of Analysis. 18th Ed. AOAC International, Gaithersburg, USA.
- Asfar A., Abdeen S., Dashti H., Khoursheed M., Al-Sayer H., Mathew T., Al-Bader A. (2003): Effect of green tea in the prevention and reversal of fasting-induced intestinal mucosal damage. *Nutrition*, 19, 536–540.
- Bozin B., Mimica-Dukic N., Samajlik I., Jovin E. (2007): Antimicrobial and antioxidant properties of rosemary and sage (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L. and *Salvia officinalis* L., Lamiaceae) essential oils. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*, 55, 7879–7885.
- Canibe N., Steien S.H., Øverland M., Jensen B.B. (2001): Effect of K-diformate in starter diets on acidity, microbiota, and the amount of organic acids in the digestive tract of piglets, and on gastric alterations. *Journal of Animal Science*, 79, 2123–2133.
- Castillo M., Martín-Orúe S.M., Roca M., Manzanilla E.G., Badiola I., Perez J.F., Gasa J. (2006): The response of gastrointestinal microbiota to avilamycin, butyrate, and plant extracts in early-weaned pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*, 84, 2725–2734.
- EN ISO 7937 (2004): Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs – Horizontal method for the enumeration of *Clostridium perfringens*. Colony-count technique. European Standard. 3rd Ed. BSI, London, UK.
- EN ISO 16654 (2001): Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs – Horizontal method for the detection of *Escherichia coli* 0157. European Standard. 1st Ed. BSI, London, UK.
- Fang J., Yan F.Y., Kong X.F., Ruan Z., Liu Z.Q., Huang R.L., Geng M.M., Yang F., Zhang Y.Z., Li P., Gong J., Wu G.Y., Fan M.Z., Liu Y.L., Hou Y.Q., Yin Y.L. (2009): Dietary supplementation with *Acanthopanax senticosus* extract enhances gut health in weaning piglets. *Livestock Science*, 123, 268–275.
- Fevrier C., Gotterbarm G., Jaghelin-Peyraud Y., Lebretton Y., Legouevéc C., Aumaitre A. (2001): Effects of adding potassium diformate and phytase excess for weaned piglet. In: Lindberg J.E., Ogle B. (eds): *Digestive Physiology of Pigs*. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK, 136–138.
- Goel V., Lovlin R., Chang C., Slama J.V., Barton R., Gahler R., Bauer R., Goonewardene L., Basu R. (2005): A proprietary extract from the Echinacea plant (*Echinacea purpurea*) enhances systemic immune response during a common cold. *Phytotherapy Research*, 19, 689–694.
- Grela E.R., Semeniuk V., Matras J., Gruszczuk M. (2006): Influence of herbs additive in sow diets on their reproduction and some blood parameters. *Annals of Animal Science*, 6 (Suppl. 2/1), 167–171.

- Hanczakowska E., Swiatkiewicz M., Szewczyk A. (2007): Efficiency of herb preparation and gallic acid in pig feeding. *Annals of Animal Science*, 7, 131–139.
- Hanczakowska E., Szewczyk A., Okoń K. (2011): Caprylic, capric and/or fumaric acids as antibiotic replacements in piglet feed. *Annals of Animal Science*, 11, 115–124.
- Hoffmann L., Schiemann R. (1980): Von der Kalorie zum Joule: Neue Größenbeziehungen bei Messungen des Energieumsatzes und bei der Berechnung von Kennzahlen der energetischen Futterbewertung. *Archiv für Tierernährung*, 30, 733–742.
- ISO 15213 (2003): Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs – Horizontal method for the enumeration of sulfite-reducing bacteria growing under anaerobic conditions. International Standard. 1st Ed. Geneva, Switzerland.
- Kong X.F., Wu G.Y., Liao Y.P., Hou Z.P., Liu H.J., Yin F.G., Li T.J., Huang R.L., Zhang Y.M., Deng D., Kang P., Wang R.X., Tang Z.Y., Yang C.B., Deng Z.Y., Xiong H., Chu W.-Y., Ruan Z., Xie M.Y., Yin Y.L. (2007a): Effect of Chinese herbal ultra-fine powder as a dietary additive on growth performance, serum metabolites and intestinal health in early-weaned piglets. *Livestock Science*, 108, 272–275.
- Kong X.F., Yin Y.L., He Q.H., Yin F.G., Liu H.J., Li T.J., Huang R.L., Geng M.M., Ruan Z., Deng Z.Y., Xie M.Y., Wu G. (2009): Dietary supplementation with Chinese herbal powder enhances ileal digestibilities and serum concentrations of amino acids in young pigs. *Amino Acids*, 37, 573–582.
- Kong X., Yin Y., Wu G., Liu H., Yin F., Li T., Huang R., Ruan Z., Xiong H., Deng Z., Xie M., Liao Y., Kim S. (2007b): Dietary supplementation with *Acanthopanax senticosus* extract modulates cellular and humoral immunity in weaned piglets. *Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science*, 20, 1453–1461.
- Kuhn G., Ender K., Thomann R., Tuchscherer M., Tuchscherer A., Stabenow B., Krüger M., Schrödl W. (2005): Einsatz von Echinacea-Extrakt bei tragenden und säugenden Sauen. *Archiv für Tierzucht*, 48, 270–282.
- Mahady G.B., Pendland S.L., Stoia A., Hamill F.A., Fabricant D., Dietz B.M., Chadwick L.R. (2005): *In vitro* susceptibility of *Helicobacter pylori* to botanical extracts used traditionally for the treatment of gastrointestinal disorders. *Phytotherapy Research*, 19, 988–991.
- Manzanilla E.G., Perez J.F., Martin M., Kamel C., Baucells F., Gasa J. (2004): Effect of plant extracts and formic acids on the intestinal equilibrium of early-weaned pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*, 82, 3210–3218.
- Mekbungwan A., Yamauchi K.E., Thongwittaya N. (2002): Intestinal morphology and enteral nutrient absorption of pigeon pea seed meal in piglets. *Animal Science Journal*, 73, 509–516.
- Mellor S. (2000): Herbs and spices promote health and growth. *Pig Progress*, 16, 27–30.
- Mroz Z. (2005): Organic acids as potential alternatives to antibiotic growth promoters for pigs. *Advances in Pork Production*, 16, 169–182.
- Namkung H., Li M., Gong J., Yu H., Cottrill M., Lange C.F.M. (2004): Impact of feeding blends of organic acids and herbal extracts on growth performance, gut microbiota and digestive function in newly weaned pigs. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, 84, 697–704.
- Nyachoti C.M., Omogbenigun F.O., Rademacher M., Blank G. (2006): Performance responses and indicators of gastrointestinal health in early-weaned pigs fed low-protein amino acid-supplemented diets. *Journal of Animal Science*, 84, 125–134.
- Oetting L.L., Utiyama C.E., Giani P.A., Ruiz U.S., Miyada V.S. (2004): Efficacy of antimicrobials and herbal extracts as growth promoters of weanling pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*, 82 (Suppl. 1), M108.
- Pappenheimer J.R., Michel C.C. (2003): Role of villus microcirculation in intestinal absorption of glucose: coupling of epithelial with endothelial transport. *Journal of Physiology*, 553.2, 561–574.
- Partanen K., Mroz Z. (1999): Organic acids for performance enhancement in pig diets. *Nutrition Research Reviews*, 12, 117–145.
- Paschma J. (2004): Effect of using herbs in diets of periparturient sows on the course of parturition and reproductive performance. *Annals of Animal Science*, 4 (Suppl. 1), 293–295.
- Polish Pharmacopoeia VI (2002): Polish Pharmaceutical Society. 6th Ed. Warsaw, Poland, 148–150. (in Polish)
- Ray E.C., Avissar N.E., Sax H.C. (2002): Growth factor regulation of enterocyte nutrient transport during intestinal adaptation. *American Journal of Surgery*, 183, 361–371.
- Re R., Pellegrini L., Proteggente A., Pannala A., Yang M., Rice-Evans C. (1999): Antioxidant activity applying an improved ABTS radical cation decolorization assay. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 26, 1231–1237.
- Reuter J., Jocher A., Hornstein S., Mönting J.S., Schempp C.M. (2007): Sage extract rich in phenolic diterpenes inhibits ultraviolet-induced erythema *in vivo*. *Planta Medica*, 73, 1190–1191.
- Rice-Evans C.A., Miller N.J., Paganga G. (1997): Antioxidant properties of phenolic compounds. *Trends in Plant Science*, 2, 152–159.
- Roth F., Kirchgessner M. (1998): Organic acids as feed additives for young pigs: nutritional and gastrointestinal effects. *Journal of Animal Feed and Sciences*, 7, 25–35.

- Schnitzler P., Schuhmacher A., Astani A., Reichling J. (2008): *Melissa officinalis* oil affects infectivity of enveloped herpesviruses. *Phytomedicine*, 15, 734–740.
- Singleton V.L., Rossi J.A. (1965): Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphotungstic-phosphomolybdic acid reagents. *American Journal of Enology Viticulture*, 16, 144–158.
- StatSoft (1996): STATISTICA (Data analysis software system). Version 5.1. StatSoft® Inc., Tulsa, USA.
- Strompfová V., Lauková A. (2009): Enterococci from piglets – probiotic properties and responsiveness to natural antibacterial substances. *Folia Microbiologica*, 54, 538–544.
- Swiatkiewicz M., Hanczakowska E. (2006): Effect of crude fiber concentrate supplementation on some characteristics of piglet intestine. *Polish Journal of Natural Sciences*, Suppl. 3, 377–382.
- Szewczyk A., Hanczakowska E., Swiatkiewicz M. (2006): The effect of nettle (*Urtica dioica*) extract on fattening performance and fatty acid profile in the meat and serum lipids of pigs. *Journal of Animal and Feed Sciences*, 15 (Supl. 1), 81–84.
- Thompson J.L., Hinton M. (1997): Antibacterial activity of formic and propionic acids in the diet of hens on *Salmonellas* in the crop. *British Poultry Science*, 38, 59–65.
- Utiyama C.E., Oetting L.L., Giani P.A., Ruiz U.S., Miyada V.S. (2004): Antimicrobials, probiotics, prebiotics and herbal extracts as growth promoters on performance of weanling pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*, 82 (Suppl. 1), M103.
- Velazques G., Borbolla A.G., Mariscal-Landin G., Reis de Souza T., Pinelli A. (2005): Intestinal morphology of weaned pigs fed diets containing herbal extracts as growth promoters. *Journal of Animal Science*, 83 (Suppl. 1), M87.
- Viegi L., Pieroni A., Guarrera P.M., Vangelisti R. (2003): A review of plants used in folk veterinary medicine in Italy as basis for databank. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 89, 221–224.
- Viveros A., Chamorro S., Pizarro M., Arija I., Centeno C., Brenes A. (2011): Effects of dietary polyphenol-rich grape products on intestinal microflora and gut morphology in broiler chicks. *Poultry Science*, 90, 566–578.

Received: 2011–11–25

Accepted after corrections: 2012–05–16

Corresponding Author

Prof. Dr. Ewa Hanczakowska, National Research Institute of Animal Production, Department of Animal Nutrition and Feed Science, ul. Krakowska 1, 32-083 Balice, Poland
Tel.: +48 666 081 374, e-mail: ewa.hanczakowska@izoo.krakow.pl
